09/10/2024 Syntax Seminar BBN-ANG-252 Erika Asztalos

X-bar Theory. Heads and Complements, Specifiers, Adjuncts

Exercise 1

(iv) Given the distribution of nominative and accusative forms in English, what problem is raised by the following examples?

- (1) a He being the owner, we were all given a free drink.
 - b Who wants ice cream? Me.
 - c Her cheat on him? Never.

Exercise 2

Identify the constituents in the following sentences:

- a. The postman lost his glasses at the party.
- b. The postman who lost his glasses looks crazy.
- a string of words that you can replace with "he/she" or "it" will be a DP (determiner phrase)
- a string of words that you can replace with a single noun will be a NP (noun phrase)
- a string of words that you can replace with a single verb will be a VP (verb phrase)
- finite (= tensed) clauses (sentences) are CP-s (complementiser phrases); even subordinate ones
- if you can replace something with "there", that will be a PP (preposition phrase)
- you can do a "*so*-replacement" for AP-s (adjective phrases), e.g. *Brian is trustworthy, and so is Robert*

Exercise 3

Account for why the following sentences are ungrammatical.

- a *Yesterday I met Paul and with Peter.
- b *Whose did you see favourite film?
- c *Mike invited the woman with long hair, Jamie invited the she with short hair.
- d *The student, I haven't seen of Physiscs lately.

(For b) and c), think about the phenomenon of *movement*, discussed last week)

1 X-bar Theory

• The below three rewrite rules determine the nature of **all structures in a language**:

(1)	a.	Х' → Х ҮР	(complement rule)
	b.	$XP \rightarrow YP X'$	(specifier rule)
	c.	$X^n \rightarrow X^n, Y/YP$	(adjunct rule)

(1a-b) are obligatory rules; (1c) is an optional rule



- X and Y are category variables: they stand for any possible category (nouns (N), verbs (V), prepositions (P), determiners (D), etc.)
- XP, YP: variables that stand for phrases (NP / VP / PP / DP / CP etc.)



• although these are constituents of different types (V', P', D'), they all have a very similar pattern: the head (X) is on the left and the complement (YP) is on the right:

(3)



 $X' \rightarrow X YP$

- X' (pronounced X bar) has two immediate constituents:
 - **X** = the **head**:
 - the central element of the phrase
 - it is a **word** of the same category as the X' (cf. the tree structures in (4)): if the head is a verb, the X' will be a V'

if the head is an adjective, the X' will be an A' etc.

- **YP** = the **complement**:
 - the complement rule $(X' \rightarrow X YP)$ introduces the structural position for the complement (i.e., the complement position)
 - in English complements follow their heads (in other languages they may precede the head)
 - the symbol YP tells us that **only a phrasal** element can sit in the complement position (as **P** in YP stands for *phrase*),

but it does not tell us the **category** of that phrase (noun phrase/preposition phrase etc.

(4)



However, we cannot insert a complement of just any category into the complement position. E.g., if the head (X) is the verb (V) 'fell':

(5) a. fell [PP off the shelf]
b. *fell [DP the cliff]
c. *fell [VP jumped over the cliff]

→ It is the properties of heads, namely, the subcategorisation frame of a lexical element which determine the category of their complements:

(6)

fall

category: [-F, -N +V] O-grid: <theme, path> subcat: prepositional

→ the head (*fall* in this case) determines the category of the elements that can occupy the complement position – e.g., *fall* it requires a PP complement:



→ From the fact of being a PP (prepositional phrase), it follows that the head of the PP will be a P (preposition) → thus only a preposition can be inserted into the head position of the PP phrase (off in this case)

The lexical properties of this P head will, in turn, impose categorical restrictions on the complement position of this PP, i.e., they tell us that the complement of the PP will be a DP (determiner phrase; most of the prepositions select for a DP complement):

(8)



- → The determiner requires an NP complement → only a N (noun) (*shelf* in this case) can be inserted into the head of the determiner's complement
- → This could continue indefinitely, but in this case the process stops at this point as the noun subcategorises for no complement.

1.2 The specifier rule

• (1b) (**XP** → **YP X**') is the **specifier rule**: it introduces the structural position of the **specifier** (the YP of this rule):

(9a)



 $\mathbf{XP} \not\rightarrow \mathbf{YP} \mathbf{X'}$



- XP has two immediate constituents:
 - X': the constituent containing the head and the complement
 - the specifier (YP):
 - a phrase
 - in English it precedes the X' (in other languages it may however follow the X')
 - specifiers are typically **arguments**, e.g., subjects:
- (10) a. [a letter] arrived
 - b. [the ship] sank
 - c. [Garry] is in the garden



→ These arguments are nearly always DPs → specifiers, unlike complements, do not seem to be restricted differently by different heads in terms of their category.

1.3 Projection

• the property of sharing category (V/D/N/P/A/C etc.) between the head, the X' and the phrase XP:

The head projects its categorial status (whether it is a verb/a determiner/a noun/a preposition/an adjective/a complementiser etc.) from the lexicon to the syntax, i.e. to the X' and ultimately to the XP: if the head is V, then X' = V', and XP = VP. Thus, the whole phrase will be of the same category as the head:

(12)



- We can imagine a phrase as a three-floored building:
 - On the ground floor we have the head, which is not built on top of anything it is an unprojected element a "zero level projection" → this can be represented as X0
 - Above the head, we have the **X'**, the **1st projection** of the head
 - On the top floor: the phrase, XP (or X", X double bar the maximal projection)
 ! All phrases project to two levels, so no X"', or X"'', etc.
- X-bar theory is a completely general theory applying to all constructions of a language

1.4 The adjunct rule

(13) a. smart studentb. vicious dogc. serious mistake

- → Main question: What are the adjectives in (31a-c): are they heads/complements/specifiers/something else?
- \rightarrow What is the **head** of these constructions? Is it the adjective or the noun?
 - The noun, because the constructions in (13) can act as the complement of a determiner (14a-c), and determiners take nominal complements, not adjectival ones. Thus, the adjectives in (13a-c, 14a-c) are not heads
- (14) a. the [NP serious error] b. the [NP error] c. *the [AP serious]
 - → The **adjectives** in (13a-c) are **not complements** either: they do not follow the head noun (and as we have seen, in English, all complements follow their heads)
 - → Specifiers precede their heads, so are the adjectives in (13) specifiers? No, because
 - specifiers tend to be arguments adjectives, however, are not arguments as we can leave them out and the construction will still be grammatical, cf. (14b)
 - a construction may only have one specifier, while there can be more than one adjectival modifier of a noun (15a-c):
- (15) a. popular smart student

b. big evil vicious dog

c. solitary disastrous unforgivable serious mistake

\rightarrow they are **adjuncts**:

(16)



(17) $X^n \rightarrow X^n, Y/YP$



- Xⁿ may stand for XP (= X"), X' or X (= X0)
- adjunction = we may add either a word (Y) or a phrase (YP) to X", X' or X in a way that it does not introduce a new "projection level": adjunction does not add a new projection level (it differs in this respect from the complement rule and the specifier rule)
- The comma indicates that the order between the adjunct and the Xⁿ is not determined by the rule:

while in English the complement follows the head and the specifier precedes it, the **adjunct may precede or follow the head** depending on other conditions (e.g., PP adjuncts follow the head, e.g. *Ricky gave a concert on Saturday*)

• The adjunction rule is **recursive**: the same symbol appears on the left and the right of the rewrite arrow. Any number of adjuncts may be added to a structure

2 Summary: X-bar theory

- A theory of basic structure comprising of just three rules (1a-c).
- These rules are generally applicable to all structures and substructures, no matter what their category: they are category neutral.
- One word acts as the **head** of each phrase and this determines the category of the phrase by projecting its own categorial properties (V/D/N/P/A/C etc.), established in the lexicon, to the X' node above it and ultimately to the XP.
- Three elements besides the head:
 - The **complement** is introduced as the sister of the head. It always follows the head in English and its category is restricted by the head's subcategorisation requirements.
 - The **specifier** is the sister of X' and the daughter of XP. Specifiers precede the head and are restricted to one per phrase.
 - The **adjunct** can be introduced at any X-bar level: X, X' and XP. This element expands what it is adjoined to into another element of the **same type**. Therefore the process is recursive and in principle any number of adjuncts can be added to a structure.

The X-bar theory predicts that in English the following sentences are ungrammatical. Explain how the X-bar theory can account for the ungrammaticality of the sentences below. Notice that the phrases in italics are responsible for the ungrammaticality of the sentences.

- (1)a *The teacher from France of English likes going to open lectures.
 - b *Mary often drives too fast her car.
 - c *Every student in Cambridge of Physics gets an excellent job.

b)

Exercise 15

Give the tree diagram of the following phrases.

- (1)a a big house
 - b little brown jug
 - c this incredible story
- d a tall handsome student of physics
- funny little thing e
- f those pretty women from Europe













Exercise 17

Why are these sentences ill-formed?

- a *Penny promised. (1)
 - b *The boy slept a car.
 - c *Garry gave Greg.
 - d *Gave a cent to Marion.
 - e *Adam ate an apple for Anne.
 - f *Daniel danced Dora.