# BBN–ANG–141 Foundations of phonology 3 The consonant phonemes of English

#### Péter Szigetvári

Dept of English Linguistics, Eötvös Loránd University

## outline

phonemic analysis n and ŋ in English technical terms n and ŋ in ME n and ŋ in LE two kinds of transcription

consonant phonemes of Standard Southern British English

a comparison of English and Hungarian

sample exam questions

does London English have the sound [ŋ]?

yes, for example, in the word thing  $[\theta_{IJ}]$ 

does London English have the sound [ŋ]?

yes, for example, in the word thing  $[\theta \imath \eta]$ 

does Manchester English have the sound [ŋ]?

yes, for example, in the word thing  $[\theta \imath \eta g]$ 

does London English have the sound [ŋ]?

yes, for example, in the word thing  $[\theta_{ij}]$ 

does Manchester English have the sound [ŋ]?

yes, for example, in the word thing  $[\theta_{1}\eta_{2}]$ 

but (despite appearances) there is a huge difference!

does London English have the sound [ŋ]?

yes, for example, in the word thing  $[\theta_{ij}]$ 

does Manchester English have the sound [ŋ]?

yes, for example, in the word thing  $[\theta \imath \eta g]$ 

but (despite appearances) there is a huge difference!

LE thin  $[\theta_{In}]$  vs thing  $[\theta_{In}]$ 

does London English have the sound [ŋ]?

yes, for example, in the word thing  $[\theta_{ij}]$ 

does Manchester English have the sound [ŋ]?

yes, for example, in the word thing  $[\theta_{1}]$ 

but (despite appearances) there is a huge difference!

LE	thin [θɪ <mark>n</mark> ]	vs thing [θιŋ]
ME	thin [θı <mark>n</mark> ]	vs thing [θιŋg]

does London English have the sound [ŋ]?

yes, for example, in the word thing  $[\theta_{III}]$ 

does Manchester English have the sound [ŋ]?

yes, for example, in the word thing  $[\theta_{1}\eta_{2}]$ 

but (despite appearances) there is a huge difference!

LE	thin [θı <mark>n</mark> ]	vs thing [θιŋ]
ME	thin [θın]	vs thing [θιŋg]

the [n] vs [ŋ] contrast distinguishes words in LE, but does not distinguish anything in ME: pronouncing [n] instead of [ŋ] would cause no confusion

### minimal pair

two words (ie with different meaning) of equal length that differ in one sound, eg *thing* and *thin* in LE ([ $\theta$ Iŋ] and [ $\theta$ In])

### minimal pair

two words (ie with different meaning) of equal length that differ in one sound, eg *thing* and *thin* in LE ( $[\theta_{II}]$  and  $[\theta_{III}]$ )

### free variation

a word with several possible pronunciations

### minimal pair

two words (ie with different meaning) of equal length that differ in one sound, eg *thing* and *thin* in LE ( $[\theta_{II}]$  and  $[\theta_{III}]$ )

#### free variation

a word with several possible pronunciations, eg *unkind* [ənkajnd] and [əŋkajnd], *either* [ɑjðə] and [ijðə]

#### minimal pair

two words (ie with different meaning) of equal length that differ in one sound, eg *thing* and *thin* in LE ( $[\theta_{II}]$  and  $[\theta_{III}]$ )

free variation

a word with several possible pronunciations, eg *unkind* [ənkajnd] and [əŋkajnd], *either* [ajðə] and [ijðə]

environment

the context in which a (linguistic) element occurs

### minimal pair

two words (ie with different meaning) of equal length that differ in one sound, eg *thing* and *thin* in LE ([ $\theta$ In]) and [ $\theta$ In])

#### free variation

a word with several possible pronunciations, eg *unkind* [ənkajnd] and [əŋkajnd], *either* [ajðə] and [ijðə]

#### environment

the context in which a (linguistic) element occurs, eg  $[\theta_1]$  is an environment of  $[\eta]$  and [n] in LE

### minimal pair

two words (ie with different meaning) of equal length that differ in one sound, eg *thing* and *thin* in LE ([ $\theta$ In]) and [ $\theta$ In])

### free variation

a word with several possible pronunciations, eg *unkind* [ənkajnd] and [əŋkajnd], *either* [ajðə] and [ijðə]

#### environment

the context in which a (linguistic) element occurs, eg  $[\theta_{I}]$  is an environment of  $[\eta]$  and [n] in LE,  $[\exists_kajnd]$  is an environment of  $[\eta]$  and [n]

### minimal pair

two words (ie with different meaning) of equal length that differ in one sound, eg *thing* and *thin* in LE ([ $\theta$ In]) and [ $\theta$ In])

### free variation

a word with several possible pronunciations, eg *unkind* [ənkajnd] and [əŋkajnd], *either* [ajðə] and [ıjðə]

#### environment

the context in which a (linguistic) element occurs, eg  $[\theta_1]$  is an environment of  $[\eta]$  and [n] in LE,  $[\exists kajnd]$  is an environment of  $[\eta]$  and [n],  $[\_ð \exists ]$  is an environment of [aj] and [ij]

### distribution

the set of all the evironments of an element

#### distribution

the set of all the evironments of an element, a long list, but it can be generalized: instead of [ $\theta_{1}$ ], [ $l_{2}$ ], [ $s_{2}$ ], [ $r_{3}$ ], [ $r_{3}$ ], we can say V\_# (after a vowel, at the end of the word)

#### distribution

the set of all the evironments of an element, a long list, but it can be generalized: instead of  $[\theta_{1}]$ ,  $[l_{2}]$ ,  $[s_{3}]$ ,  $[r_{3}]$ , we can say V\_# (after a vowel, at the end of the word)

#### complementary distribution

two distributions are complementary if none of their elements are common, ie they do not overlap, eg  $A = \{a, b, c\}, B = \{d, e, f\}$ 

#### distribution

the set of all the evironments of an element, a long list, but it can be generalized: instead of  $[\theta_1]$ ,  $[l_2]$ ,  $[s_2]$ ,  $[r_3]$ , we can say V\_# (after a vowel, at the end of the word)

#### complementary distribution

two distributions are complementary if none of their elements are common, ie they do not overlap, eg  $A = \{a, b, c\}, B = \{d, e, f\}$ 

#### overlapping distribution

two distributions are overlapping if some (or all) of their elements are common, ie they are not complementary,

$$A = \{a, b, c\}, B_1 = \{c, d, e\}, B_2 = \{b, c\}, B_3 = \{a, b, c\}$$

## the distribution of $[\eta]$ in ME

# $[n] \mbox{ and } [\eta] \mbox{ in } \mathsf{ME}$

### the distribution of [n] in ME

 $- {k \brack g}$ 

## the distribution of [ŋ] in ME

 $- \begin{cases} k \\ g \end{cases}$  that is, [ŋ] occurs before [k] or [g] **only**, eg *ink* [ŋk], *king* [kiŋg]

## the distribution of [ŋ] in ME

 $- \begin{cases} k \\ g \end{cases}$  that is, [ŋ] occurs before [k] or [g] **only**, eg *ink* [ŋk], *king* [kŋg]

### the distribution of [n] in ME

## the distribution of [ŋ] in ME

- $\begin{cases} k \\ g \end{cases}$  that is, [ŋ] occurs before [k] or [g] **only**, eg *ink* [ŋk], *king* [kŋg]

## the distribution of [n] in ME

$$- \begin{cases} t \\ d \\ \# \\ \dots \end{cases}$$

## the distribution of $[\eta]$ in ME

 $- \begin{cases} k \\ g \end{cases}$  that is, [ŋ] occurs before [k] or [g] **only**, eg *ink* [ŋk], *king* [kŋg]

### the distribution of [n] in ME



That is, [n] occurs before [t] or [d] or # (word boundary, ie at the end of the word) or in several other environments (eg before vowels, [s], [r], [l], etc.), but not before [k] or [g], eg tort hand in the second se tent, bend, ten, name, tense, Henry, only

# $[n] \mbox{ and } [\eta] \mbox{ in } \mbox{ME}$

## the distribution of $[\eta]$ in ME

 $\begin{array}{c} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} k \\ g \end{array}\right\} & \text{that is, } [\eta] \text{ occurs before } [k] \text{ or } [g] \text{ only,} \\ eg ink [\eta k], king [king] \end{array}$ 

## the distribution of [n] in ME

ſ	t	)
J	d	l
 Ì	#	Ì
l	•••	J

that is, [n] occurs before [t] or [d] or # (word boundary, ie at the end of the word) or in several other environments (eg before vowels, [s], [r], [l], etc.), but **not** before [k] or [g], eg *tent, bend, ten, name, tense, Henry, only* 

## the distribution of $[n] \mbox{ and } [\eta]$ in ME

is complementary, the two distributions do not overlap: [n] occurs only where [n] does not occur (or if they do cooccur (eg in *unkind*) there's free variation)

### if two elements are in complementary distribution

they cannot contrast

- they cannot contrast
- ▶ there exist no minimal pairs (eg with [n] vs [ŋ] in ME)

- they cannot contrast
- ▶ there exist no minimal pairs (eg with [n] vs [ŋ] in ME)
- their difference has no linguistic function

- they cannot contrast
- ▶ there exist no minimal pairs (eg with [n] vs [ŋ] in ME)
- their difference has no linguistic function
- they are considered the same "sound" by native speakers

- they cannot contrast
- ▶ there exist no minimal pairs (eg with [n] vs [ŋ] in ME)
- their difference has no linguistic function
- ▶ they are considered the same "sound" by native speakers
- it can usually be predicted which of the two occurs in a given environment (eg before [k] or [g], it will be [ŋ], elsewhere [n])

if two elements are in complementary distribution

- they cannot contrast
- ▶ there exist no minimal pairs (eg with [n] vs [ŋ] in ME)
- their difference has no linguistic function
- they are considered the same "sound" by native speakers
- it can usually be predicted which of the two occurs in a given environment (eg before [k] or [g], it will be [ŋ], elsewhere [n])

two such sounds

are allophones of the same phoneme

if two elements are in complementary distribution

- they cannot contrast
- ▶ there exist no minimal pairs (eg with [n] vs [ŋ] in ME)
- their difference has no linguistic function
- they are considered the same "sound" by native speakers
- it can usually be predicted which of the two occurs in a given environment (eg before [k] or [g], it will be [ŋ], elsewhere [n])

#### two such sounds

are allophones of the same phoneme; [n] and [ŋ] are allophones of /n/

if two elements are in complementary distribution

- they cannot contrast
- ▶ there exist no minimal pairs (eg with [n] vs [ŋ] in ME)
- their difference has no linguistic function
- they are considered the same "sound" by native speakers
- it can usually be predicted which of the two occurs in a given environment (eg before [k] or [g], it will be [ŋ], elsewhere [n])

#### two such sounds

are **allophones** of the same **phoneme**; [n] and [ŋ] are allophones of /n/ (or /ŋ/ or /%/, the symbol is arbitrary, but it is useful to select one that is easily recognized)

if two elements are in complementary distribution

- they cannot contrast
- ▶ there exist no minimal pairs (eg with [n] vs [ŋ] in ME)
- their difference has no linguistic function
- they are considered the same "sound" by native speakers
- it can usually be predicted which of the two occurs in a given environment (eg before [k] or [g], it will be [ŋ], elsewhere [n])

#### two such sounds

are **allophones** of the same **phoneme**; [n] and [ŋ] are allophones of /n/ (or /ŋ/ or /%/, the symbol is arbitrary, but it is useful to select one that is easily recognized); this is true for ME, not necessarily in any other language
### allophones of a phoneme

if two elements are in complementary distribution

- they cannot contrast
- ▶ there exist no minimal pairs (eg with [n] vs [ŋ] in ME)
- their difference has no linguistic function
- ▶ they are considered the same "sound" by native speakers
- it can usually be predicted which of the two occurs in a given environment (eg before [k] or [g], it will be [ŋ], elsewhere [n])

#### two such sounds

are **allophones** of the same **phoneme**; [n] and [ŋ] are allophones of /n/ (or /ŋ/ or /%/, the symbol is arbitrary, but it is useful to select one that is easily recognized); this is true for ME, not necessarily in any other language (incidentally it is also true for Hungarian)

### the father of the modern theory of phonemes



Jan Ignacy Niecisław Baudouin de Courtenay (1845–1929) (a.k.a. Ivan Aleksandrovich Boduen de Kurtene)

## $[n] \mbox{ and } [\eta] \mbox{ in LE}$

### the distribution of [ŋ] in LE

#### n and ŋ in LE

## [n] and [ŋ] in LE

### the distribution of [ŋ] in LE



### the distribution of $[\eta]$ in LE



 $-\begin{cases} k \\ g \\ \mu \end{cases}$  that is, [ŋ] occurs before [k] or [g] or # eg ink [ŋk], younger [jəŋgə], young [jəŋ]

### the distribution of $[\eta]$ in LE



 $- \begin{cases} k \\ g \\ \mu \end{cases}$  that is, [ŋ] occurs before [k] or [g] or # eg ink [ŋk], younger [jəŋgə], young [jəŋ]

#### the distribution of [n] in LE

### the distribution of $[\eta]$ in LE



 $- \begin{cases} k \\ g \\ , \\ , \\ \end{pmatrix} \qquad \text{that is, [ŋ] occurs before [k] or [g] or # \\ eg ink [ŋk], younger [jəŋgə], young [jəŋ] \end{cases}$ 

### the distribution of [n] in LE

$$- \begin{cases} t \\ d \\ \# \\ \dots \end{cases}$$

### the distribution of $[\eta]$ in LE



 $= \begin{cases} \kappa \\ g \\ \mu \end{cases}$  that is, [ŋ] occurs before [k] or [g] or # eg ink [iŋk], younger [jəŋgə], young [jəŋ]

### the distribution of [n] in LE



 $= \begin{cases} d \\ \# \end{cases}$  that is, [n] occurs before [t] or [d] or # or in several other environments, just like in ME

### the distribution of $[\eta]$ in LE



 $= \begin{cases} \kappa \\ g \\ \mu \end{cases}$  that is, [ŋ] occurs before [k] or [g] or # eg ink [iŋk], younger [jəŋgə], young [jəŋ]

### the distribution of [n] in LE

 $= \begin{cases} 1 \\ d \\ \# \end{cases}$  that is, [n] occurs before [t] or [d] or # or in several other environments, just like in ME

### the distribution of [n] and [n] in LE

is not complementary, the two distributions overlap, **both** [n] and [ŋ] occur word finally (-#)

if two elements are in overlapping distribution

there exist environments in which both occur (both [n] and [ŋ] occur in \_\_#)

- there exist environments in which both occur (both [n] and [ŋ] occur in \_\_#)
- ▶ they may contrast (if they don't we have free variation), if they do

- there exist environments in which both occur (both [n] and [ŋ] occur in \_\_#)
- ▶ they may contrast (if they don't we have free variation), if they do
  - there exist minimal pairs (eg thin /θın/ and thing /θιŋ/)

- there exist environments in which both occur (both [n] and [ŋ] occur in \_\_#)
- ▶ they may contrast (if they don't we have free variation), if they do
  - there exist minimal pairs (eg thin  $/\theta_{in}/$  and thing  $/\theta_{in}/$ )
  - the difference has a function (eg distinguishing thin and thing)

- there exist environments in which both occur (both [n] and [ŋ] occur in \_\_\_#)
- ▶ they may contrast (if they don't we have free variation), if they do
  - there exist minimal pairs (eg thin /θιn/ and thing /θιŋ/)
  - the difference has a function (eg distinguishing thin and thing)
- which of the two occurs in a given environment is often unpredictable: one cannot tell whether /n/ or /ŋ/ occurs in the environment /θι\_\_/; this is a lexical property of the word (it is an irregularity)

if two elements are in overlapping distribution

- there exist environments in which both occur (both [n] and [ŋ] occur in \_\_\_#)
- ▶ they may contrast (if they don't we have free variation), if they do
  - there exist minimal pairs (eg thin  $/\theta in/$  and thing  $/\theta in/$ )
  - the difference has a function (eg distinguishing thin and thing)
- which of the two occurs in a given environment is often unpredictable: one cannot tell whether /n/ or /ŋ/ occurs in the environment /θι\_\_\_/; this is a lexical property of the word (it is an irregularity)

two such sounds

are two separate phonemes; /n/ and /ŋ/ are two separate phonemes in LE

narrow/phonetic transcription

▶ includes subphonemic detail, predictable information, allophones

- includes subphonemic detail, predictable information, allophones
- usually concentrates on a particular aspect of the pronunciation

- includes subphonemic detail, predictable information, allophones
- usually concentrates on a particular aspect of the pronunciation
- totally narrow transcription is impossible

- ▶ includes subphonemic detail, predictable information, allophones
- usually concentrates on a particular aspect of the pronunciation
- totally narrow transcription is impossible
- ▶ is enclosed in brackets (square brackets)

#### narrow/phonetic transcription

- includes subphonemic detail, predictable information, allophones
- usually concentrates on a particular aspect of the pronunciation
- totally narrow transcription is impossible
- ▶ is enclosed in brackets (square brackets)

eg cap [k<sup>h</sup>a<sup>?</sup>p], twenty [twɛ̃<sup>?</sup>ti]

#### narrow/phonetic transcription

- includes subphonemic detail, predictable information, allophones
- usually concentrates on a particular aspect of the pronunciation
- totally narrow transcription is impossible
- ▶ is enclosed in brackets (square brackets)

eg cap [kha<sup>?</sup>p], twenty [twɛ̃<sup>?</sup>ti]

broad/phonemic/phonological transcription

#### narrow/phonetic transcription

- includes subphonemic detail, predictable information, allophones
- usually concentrates on a particular aspect of the pronunciation
- totally narrow transcription is impossible
- ▶ is enclosed in brackets (square brackets)

eg cap [k<sup>h</sup>a<sup>?</sup>p], twenty [twɛ̃<sup>?</sup>ti]

#### broad/phonemic/phonological transcription

includes only phonemes, unpredictable information

#### narrow/phonetic transcription

- includes subphonemic detail, predictable information, allophones
- usually concentrates on a particular aspect of the pronunciation
- totally narrow transcription is impossible
- is enclosed in brackets (square brackets)

eg cap [kha<sup>?</sup>p], twenty [twɛ̃<sup>?</sup>ti]

#### broad/phonemic/phonological transcription

- includes only phonemes, unpredictable information
- is enclosed by slashes (a.k.a. virgules)

#### narrow/phonetic transcription

- includes subphonemic detail, predictable information, allophones
- usually concentrates on a particular aspect of the pronunciation
- totally narrow transcription is impossible
- is enclosed in brackets (square brackets)

eg cap [k<sup>h</sup>a<sup>?</sup>p], twenty [twɛ̃<sup>?</sup>ti]

#### broad/phonemic/phonological transcription

- includes only phonemes, unpredictable information
- is enclosed by slashes (a.k.a. virgules)

eg cap /kap/, twenty /twɛntij/, thing LE /θιŋ/, ME /θιng/

#### narrow/phonetic transcription

- includes subphonemic detail, predictable information, allophones
- usually concentrates on a particular aspect of the pronunciation
- totally narrow transcription is impossible
- ▶ is enclosed in brackets (square brackets)

eg cap [kha<sup>?</sup>p], twenty [twɛ̃<sup>?</sup>ti]

#### broad/phonemic/phonological transcription

- includes only phonemes, unpredictable information
- is enclosed by slashes (a.k.a. virgules)

eg cap /kap/, twenty /twɛntij/, thing LE /θıŋ/, ME /θıng/, but think is /θıŋk/ (not /θınk/) in LE, although it predictably has /ŋ/

#### narrow/phonetic transcription

- includes subphonemic detail, predictable information, allophones
- usually concentrates on a particular aspect of the pronunciation
- totally narrow transcription is impossible
- ▶ is enclosed in brackets (square brackets)

eg cap [kha<sup>?</sup>p], twenty [twɛ̃<sup>?</sup>ti]

#### broad/phonemic/phonological transcription

- includes only phonemes, unpredictable information
- is enclosed by slashes (a.k.a. virgules)

eg cap /kap/, twenty /twɛntij/, thing LE / $\theta$ iŋ/, ME / $\theta$ ing/, but think is / $\theta$ iŋk/ (not / $\theta$ ink/) in LE, although it predictably has /ŋ/: once a phoneme always a phoneme!

both French and English have nasalized vowelssept [sɛt] vs sainte [sɛ̃t]beau [bɔ] vs bon [bɔ̃]pod [pɔd] vs pond [pɔ̃nd]

both French and English have nasalized vowelssept [sɛt] vs sainte [sɛ̃t]set [sɛt] vs sent [sɛ̃nt]beau [bɔ] vs bon [bɔ̃]pod [pɔd] vs pond [pɔ̃nd]

but in English we know why the vowel is nasalized: there is a nasal consonant right after it

both French and English have nasalized vowelssept [sɛt] vs sainte [sɛ̃t]set [sɛt] vs sent [sɛ̃nt]beau [bɔ] vs bon [bɔ̃]pod [pɔd] vs pond [pɔ̃nd]

- but in English we know why the vowel is nasalized: there is a nasal consonant right after it
- while in French that nasal consonant has been lost (still shown in spelling!)

both French and English have nasalized vowelssept [sɛt] vs sainte [sɛ̃t]set [sɛt] vs sent [sɛ̃nt]beau [bɔ] vs bon [bɔ̃]pod [pɔd] vs pond [pɔ̃nd]

- but in English we know why the vowel is nasalized: there is a nasal consonant right after it
- while in French that nasal consonant has been lost (still shown in spelling!)

so [ $\tilde{\epsilon}$ ], [ $\tilde{j}$ ] in E is not phonemic: missing in broad transcription sept /sɛt/ vs sainte /sɛ̃t/ set /sɛt/ vs sent /sɛnt/ beau /bɔ/ vs bon /bɔ̃/ pod /pɔd/ vs pont /pɔnd/

## the consonant inventory of (Southern) British English

		LABIAL		CORONAL				BACK		
		BIL	L-D	L-V	DEN	ALV	P-A	PAL	VEL	GLO
OBS	PLO	рb				td			k g	
	AFF						tf dg			
	FRI		fv		θð	s z	∫ 3			
SON	NAS	m				n			ŋ	
	LIQ						r			
	GLI			W				j		h

note 1: "LIQ" = liquid (for the other categories, check topic #1) note 2: /h/ is often considered a fricative

## the consonant inventory of (Southern) British English

		LABIAL			CORONAL				BACK	
		BIL	F-D	Ł-₩	DEN	ALV	P-A	PAL	<b>VEL</b>	GLO
OBS	PLO	рb				td			k g	
	AFF						ffdz			
	FRI		fv		θð	s z	∫ 3			
SON	NAS	m				n			ŋ	
	LIQ						r			
	GLI			w				j		h

note 1: "LIQ" = liquid (for the other categories, check topic #1) note 2: /h/ is often considered a fricative

### the consonant inventory of BE: pruning place

		LABIAL	CORONAL			BACK
			DEN	ALV	PAL	
OBS	PLO	рb		td		k g
	AFF				fl q3	
	FRI	fv	θð	s z	∫ 3	
	NAS	m		n		ŋ
SON	LIQ			I	r	
	GLI	w			j	h

### the consonant inventory of BE: pruning place

		LABIAL	CORONAL			BACK
			DEN	ALV	PAL	
OBS	PLO	рb		td		k g
	AFF				में प्र	
	FRI	fv	θð	s z	∫ 3	
SON	NAS	m		n		ŋ
	<del>LIQ</del>			I	r	
	GLI	W			j	h
		LABIAL	CORONAL			BACK
-----	-----	--------	---------	-----	------------	------
			DEN	ALV	PAL	
OBS	PLO	рb		td	म् क्	k g
	FRI	fv	θð	s z	∫ <b>3</b>	
SON	NAS	m		n		ŋ
	APP	W			rj	h

		LABIAL	CORONAL			BACK
			DEN	ALV	PAL	
OBS	PLO	рb		td	fф	k g
	FRI	fv	θð	s z	∫ 3	
SON	NAS	m		n		ŋ
	APP	W			rj	h

• outliers:  $/\theta \ \delta/$  (note that younger speakers replace them with /f v/)

		LABIAL	CORONAL			BACK
			DEN	ALV	PAL	
OBS	PLO	рb		td	fф	k g
	FRI	fv	θð	s z	∫ 3	
SON	NAS	m		n	*	ŋ
	APP	W		I	rj	h

• outliers:  $/\theta \ \delta/$  (note that younger speakers replace them with /f v/)

- gaps:
  - no palatal nasal

		LABIAL	CORONAL			BACK
			DEN	ALV	PAL	
OBS	PLO	рb		td	fф	k g
	FRI	fv	θð	s z	∫ 3	*
SON	NAS	m		n		ŋ
	APP	W		I	rj	h

• outliers:  $/\theta \delta /$  (note that younger speakers replace them with /f v/)

gaps:

- no palatal nasal
- ▶ no "back" fricative (note that some consider /h/ a fricative)

# the unique consonants of English and Hungarian

		LABIAL	CORONAL			BACK
			DEN	ALV	PAL	
OBS	PLO	рb		t d	C J	k g
	AFF			ts	म् फ	
	FRI	fv	θð	s z	∫ 3	
SON	NAS	m		n	ŋ	ŋ
	APP	W		lr	rj	h

if [se $\phi$ ] and [sef] are a minimal pair, then which of the following statements is true?

- 1. [ $\phi$ ] and [f] are in complementary distribution
- 2.  $[\phi]$  and [f] are in free variation
- 3.  $[\phi]$  and [f] are allophones of the same phoneme
- 4.  $[\phi]$  and [f] are two phonemes

if [se $\phi$ ] and [sef] are a minimal pair, then which of the following statements is true?

- 1. [ $\phi$ ] and [f] are in complementary distribution
- 2.  $[\phi]$  and [f] are in free variation
- 3.  $[\phi]$  and [f] are allophones of the same phoneme
- 4.  $[\phi]$  and [f] are two phonemes

if [se $\phi$ ] and [sef] are a minimal pair, then which of the following statements is true?

- 1. [ $\phi$ ] and [f] are in complementary distribution
- 2. [ $\phi$ ] and [f] are in free variation
- 3.  $[\phi]$  and [f] are allophones of the same phoneme
- 4.  $[\phi]$  and [f] are two phonemes

why is vowel nasalization not marked in English?

- 1. because vowels are never nasalized in English
- 2. because all vowels are nasalized in English
- 3. because vowel nasalization is predictable in English
- 4. because diacritic symbols are not used in English

if [se $\phi$ ] and [sef] are a minimal pair, then which of the following statements is true?

- 1. [ $\phi$ ] and [f] are in complementary distribution
- 2. [ $\phi$ ] and [f] are in free variation
- 3.  $[\phi]$  and [f] are allophones of the same phoneme
- 4.  $[\phi]$  and [f] are two phonemes

why is vowel nasalization not marked in English?

- 1. because vowels are never nasalized in English
- 2. because all vowels are nasalized in English
- 3. because vowel nasalization is predictable in English
- 4. because diacritic symbols are not used in English