# Focus particles and Alternatives

Flóra Lili Donáti

Eötvös Loránd University School of English and American Studies

## Focus Particles and Variation

#### What are focus particles?

Linguistic items sensitive to focus placement in a sentence.

**Examples:** only, also, even, just, exclusively, merely, as well, in addition to, etc.

- (1) Katie **only** introduced Yasu to James.
  - Q: How is this sentence ambiguous?
  - ► **Hint:** The meaning changes based on which part of the sentence is in focus.

# Focus Particles and Meaning Variation

- (2) a. Katie **only** introduced YASU<sub>F</sub> to James.
  - ⇒ Katie didn't introduce anyone else to James.
  - b. Katie **only** introduced Yasu to JAMES $_F$ .
  - ⇒ Katie didn't introduce Yasu to anyone else.

#### Why does this matter?

- ► The placement of focus (e.g., Yasu vs. James) directly affects the meaning.
- ► Focus particles interact with sentence structure to create different interpretations.

## What is Focus?

**Focus** refers to a constituent in a sentence that is emphasized through grammatical means such as:

- Prosodic salience
  - (3) We saw a TIGER $_F$  on the road.
- Syntactic reordering
  - (4) It was a TIGER $_F$  that we saw on the road.

## What is Focus?

Focus typically contributes **new** or **contrastive** information to the sentence.

- (5) a. (What did you see on the road?) We saw a TIGER $_F$  on the road.
  - b. (Mary told me that you saw a lion on the road!) No, we saw a TIGER $_F$  on the road.

## Focus Marking and Ambiguity

Focus marking can be ambiguous, as it can answer different questions depending on the size of the **scope of the focus**.

- (6) Mary bought a book about BATS.
  - a. Mary bought a book about [NP] BATS $]_F$ .

(What did Mary buy a book about?)

b. Mary bought a book [pP] about BATS $]_F$ .

(What kind of book did Mary buy?)

c. Mary bought [NP] a book about BATS $]_F$ .

(What did Mary buy?)

d. Mary [VP] bought a book about BATS $_F$ .

(What did Mary do?)

e. [TP] Mary bought a book about BATS $]_F$ .

(What's up?)

## Focus Marking Across Languages

Languages vary significantly in how they mark focus.

- ▶ In many languages, the **focused constituent** is the most prosodically prominent part of the sentence.
- English uses **pitch accent** to signal focus.
- (7) a. We saw a TIGER $_F$  on the road.
  - b. We saw a tiger on the  $ROAD_F$ .

Q: How does this compare to other languages you know?

## Focus Marking Across Languages

In some languages, focus is marked by a **morphological marker**, such as the focus marker  $\grave{a}$  in Gùrùntùm (Nigeria), which precedes the focused element in a sentence.

- (8) A: Who is chewing the colanut?
  - B: À fúrmýò bà wúm kwálíngálá. FOC fulani PROG chew colanut 'The FULANI<sub>F</sub> is chewing the colanut.'
- (9) A: What is he chewing?
  - B: Tí bà wúm à kwálíngálá. 3sg prog chew foc colanut 'He is chewing COLANUT<sub>F</sub>.'

## Focus Marking Across Languages

Languages like Hungarian use **syntactic reordering** to mark focus, with a structural **focus position** directly in front of the verb.

- (10) a. Misi el aludt.

  Misi vM-away slept

  'Misi fell asleep.' (What happened?)
  - b.  $MISI_F$  aludt el. Misi slept VM-away 'MISI fell asleep.' (Who fell asleep?)

Finally, many languages, such as Slavic languages, German, Japanese, Korean, European Portuguese, and Finnish, use mixed strategies for marking focus.

**Q:** How does focus affect the meaning of a sentence?

- ► Focus can change the interpretation of a sentence by highlighting a specific part of it.
- When something is focused, it introduces alternatives or different possible propositions, depending on what part of the sentence is emphasized.
- (11) MARY $_F$  likes Sue. Alternatives: Anne likes Sue, Bella likes Sue, Cat likes sue...
- (12) Mary likes  $SUE_F$ . Alternatives: Mary likes Anne, Mary likes Bella, Mary likes Cat...

The alternatives are essentially the same proposition as the original utterance, but with the focused element replaced.

**Q:** What can we replace the focused element with?

- They must be of the same syntactic and semantic type we replace the focused element with something that can fit grammatically and meaningfully.
- ► The alternatives must be **contextually salient** they must make sense in the given situation.

The examples below have the same literal meaning, but the alternatives differ, making them suitable in different contexts:

- (13) a.  $MARY_F$  likes Sue.
  - b. Mary likes  $SUE_F$ .

In these sentences, focusing affects the **felicity conditions** (whether the sentence is appropriate in a given context), but not the **literal meaning**.

But sometimes focusing has also effect on the literal meaning, when combined with some **focus sensitive particle**:

- (14) a. Katie **only** introduced YASU $_F$  to James.
  - ⇒ Alternatives: Katie introduced Anne/Bella/Cat to James...
  - Katie **only** introduced Yasu to JAMES<sub>F</sub>.
  - ⇒ Alternatives: Katie introduced Yasu to Anne/Bella/Cat...

## Variation of Focus Particles

Focus particles can be divided into two main types based on the information they provide about alternatives:

- (15) a. Katie **only** introduced YASU<sub>F</sub> to James.
  - b. Katie introduced YASU<sub>F</sub> to James, **too**. Alternatives: *Katie introduced Anne/Bella/Cat to James...*
  - Exclusives (e.g., only, merely, just)
    All other alternatives are false.
    - ⇒ Katie didn't introduce anyone else to James.
  - ► Additives (e.g., too, also, even)

    There is some alternative that is true.
    - ⇒ Katie introduced others to James as well.

Focus not only categorizes alternatives but also interact with **different levels of meaning**.

## Presuppositions and Levels of Meaning

**Levels of Meaning:** Sentences can convey multiple levels of meaning, including:

- ► The **asserted meaning**: The main content of the sentence that is explicitly uttered.
- ► The presupposed meaning: Conveyed, backgrounded information treated as an established fact.
- (16) Mary is reading War and Peace again.
  - a. Asserted: Mary is reading War and Peace.
  - b. Presupposed: Mary has read *War and Peace* in the past.

# Presuppositions and Levels of Meaning

#### **Pragmatic Roles:**

- ► The asserted meaning is typically the main point of the utterance.
- ► The presupposed meaning is backgrounded and not the main point of the conversation.

#### Note the question it can be an answer to:

(17) A: Which book is Mary reading now?

A': #Which book has Mary read before?

B: Mary is reading War and Peace again.

# Presuppositions and Levels of Meaning

**Presupposition:** An implicit assumption or background belief tied to an utterance, not explicitly uttered, but taken for granted in discourse.

- (18) a. Guillaume quit smoking. presupposition: Guillaume used to smoke.
  - b. The King of France is bald. presupposition: France has a king.
  - c. Bill reread War and Peace.presupposition: Bill has read War and Peace before.
  - d. Lucy forgot to submit her homework. presupposition: Lucy was supposed to submit her HW.
  - e. Masaya walks his dog in the morning. presupposition: Masaya has a dog.

Only presupposes its **prejacent** (the sentence that *only* modifies) and asserts that it is the only true proposition among its alternatives.

- (19) **Only**  $KATIE_F$  danced.
  - a. **Presupposition**: Katie danced.
  - b. **Assertion**: No one other than Katie danced.

The word *only* has two main uses:

- Non-scalar use: Excludes alternatives.
- ▶ Scalar use: Highlights something as "less than expected."
- (20) a. **Only** KATIE $_F$  won the silver medal.
  - b. Katie **only** won [THE SILVER MEDAL] $_F$ .

#### What is a scale?

A scale organizes alternatives from weaker to stronger:

(no medal, bronze medal, silver medal, gold medal)

This explains why the following sentences are infelicitous:

- (21) a. #Katie **only** won [THE GOLD MEDAL]<sub>F</sub>.
  - b. #Katie **only** speaks [TWENTY THREE LANGUAGES]<sub>F</sub>.
  - c. #It is **only**  $[44 \,^{\circ}C]_F$  today.

The English word *only* can have a **temporal reading**:

(22) Carla **only** understood the problem on SATURDAY $_F$ .

In languages like Dutch, there are distinct forms of *only* for different uses:

- Exclusive reading (e.g., alleen)
- ► Scalar reading (e.g., maar)
- ► Temporal reading (e.g., pas)

Some exclusive particles, like *just* in English sometimes lack the exclusive meaning, and give rise to a **minimal sufficiency reading**:

- (23) a. **Just** a LETTER<sub>F</sub> from her makes me happy.
  - b. **Only** a LETTER $_F$  from her makes me happy.

#### Additive Particles

Additive focus particles, such as the English too, also, as well, in addition carry an additive presupposition.

(24) KATIE $_F$  danced **too**.

**Presupposition:** Someone other than Katie danced.

Assertion: Katie danced.

Note that the assertion is identical to the prejacent, so *too* doesn't alter the literal meaning. Its role is to activate the presupposition that there is an additional dancer.

## Negative Additive Particles

The English *either* works similarly to *too*, as it also triggers an additive presupposition, but it is restricted to **negative contexts**.

Like too, either doesn't affect the literal meaning; it only triggers a presupposition.

(25) KATIE $_F$  didn't dance **either**.

**Presupposition:** Someone other than Katie didn't dance.

Assertion: Katie didn't dance.

### Scalar Additive Particles

In addition to simple additives, many languages also have one or more **scalar additives**, like *even*, which triggers two presuppositions:

- (26) **Even** KATIE $_F$  danced.
  - Assertion: Katie danced.
  - Additive presupposition: Somebody other than Katie danced.
  - c. Scalar presupposition: Katie was unlikely to dance.

### Scalar Additive Particles

The scalar presupposition, like that of *only*, places restrictions on what *even* can associate with, such that it sounds odd when used with items low on a scale.

(27) #The dean of the university has **even** graduated from  $[HIGH\ SCHOOL]_F$ .

There are also cases where the additive presupposition seems to be absent with *even* :

(28) Katie **even** won [THE GOLD MEDAL] $_F$ .

### Variation of Focus Particles

Certain focus particles can also have other functions – Serbian particles *i* and *ni* for example can function as coordination markers as well:

- (29) a. Sofija ne pise **i** pesme **i** priče.

  Sofija NEG writes (and) poems.ACC and stories.ACC
  'Sofija (doesn't) write(s) poems and/or stories'
  - b. I Lea je uradila domaći.
    FP Lea AUX.3SG do.PART homework.ACC
    'Lea did the homework, too.'
    'Even Lea did the homework '

## Variation of Focus Particles

Also the Japanese *mo* particle, meaning 'also/even' can have several other functions as well:

- (30) a. dare- mo who MO 'everyone/anyone'
  - b. gakusei jyuu- nin- mo student ten CL.PERSON MO 'as many as ten students'
  - c. Tetsuya- mo Akira- mo Tetsuya MO Akira MO 'both Tetsuya and Akira'
  - d. Tetsuya- mo Tetsuya MO 'also/even Tetsuya'

Q: Can you find similar examples from Hungarian?

## Summary

- ► Focus particles are words or expressions that mark focus within a sentence.
- ► **Focus** is the part of a sentence that conveys new, contrastive, or important information.
- ► Languages mark focus by various means, including prosody, word order, and focus markers.
- Focus introduces alternatives, highlighting a set of possible propositions.

## Summary

- ► Focus particles give us more information about these alternatives.
- They can be categorized into two types:
  - **Exclusives**: Indicate that all other alternatives are false.
  - Additives: Indicate that some other alternative is true.
- Scalarity ranks the alternatives on a scale, according to the expectedness of the alternatives.
- Synonymous particles can differ in their uses, and some focus particles in certain languages can have other uses as well.

### Conclusion

- ► Focus and focus particles provide valuable insights across several areas of linguistics, including:
  - Phonology and intonation
  - Syntax and morphology
  - Semantics and pragmatics
- Different languages employ distinct strategies to mark focus, highlighting its cross-linguistic variability.
- ► Focus particles reveal how speakers often convey more than what is explicitly stated.
- Examining focus brings us closer to understanding:
  - ► How we interpret and process alternatives
  - How these alternatives are mentally represented
  - The mechanisms behind meaning comprehension